Classic Maya Bloodletting and the Cultural Evolution of Religious Rituals: Quantifying Patterns of Variation in Hieroglyphic Texts
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Abstract

Religious rituals that are painful or highly stressful are hypothesized to be costly signs of commitment essential for the evolution of complex society. Yet few studies have investigated how such extreme ritual practices were culturally transmitted in past societies. Here, we report the first study to analyze temporal and spatial variation in bloodletting rituals recorded in Classic Maya (ca. 250–900 CE) hieroglyphic texts. We also identify the sociopolitical contexts most closely associated with these ancient recorded rituals. Sampling an extensive record of 2,480 hieroglyphic texts, this study identifies every recorded instance of the logographic sign for the word ch’ahb’ that is associated with ritual bloodletting. We show that documented rituals exhibit low frequency whose occurrence cannot be predicted by spatial location. Conversely, network ties better capture the distribution of bloodletting rituals across the southern Maya region. Our results indicate that bloodletting rituals by Maya nobles were not uniformly recorded, but were typically documented in association with antagonistic statements and may have signaled royal commitments among connected polities.
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No longer can evolutionists dismiss such works [of ritual sacrifice] as scholarly, but irrelevant, esoterica–explorations of the bizarre but insignificant elements of Precolombian culture…we must address this ideological data base with the same interest that we would give to studies of settlement patterns, subsistence systems, or political institutions [1].

Introduction

Ritual acts of blood sacrifice are dominant themes in Pre-Columbian art and archaeology, and are an essential topic for understanding the development of early complex societies in Mesoamerica, as Arthur Demarest suggested over 30 years ago. Sociologists and anthropologists have long made similar arguments that intense rituals enhance social cohesion and promote cooperation for human society [2–4]. These so-called “costly ritual behaviors”– and the traces they leave in the archaeological and historical records– are therefore worthy subjects of scientific inquiry that require explanations derived from a unified theoretical framework [5]. Although many scholars recognize that sacrificial rituals involving the dedication of human lives and blood to supernatural beings were essential to the religious foundation and political order of Mesoamerican societies [6–8], cultural evolutionary explanations for these behaviors are not featured prominently due to earlier monolithic views of religious change [1]. Rather than assume that blood rituals form part of a universal Mesoamerican religion, we focus our attention on the distribution and spread of bloodletting rituals recorded in Classic Maya hieroglyphic texts. We examine this specific class of sacrificial practices because selected examples of Classic Maya bloodletting have been used to illustrate how these extravagant and costly displays increased the level of commitment to dominant ideology in past complex societies [9,10]. With these considerations in mind, our aim is threefold: 1) to analyze the temporal and spatial variation of bloodletting rituals in the Maya hieroglyphic record, 2) to examine factors associated with the uneven distribution of these bloodletting records, and 3) to demonstrate how current cultural evolutionary models can provide key insights on the historical dynamics of past cultural practices.

Blood sacrifice in ancient Mesoamerica

Abundant archaeological and historical evidence supports the prevalence of religious practices involving blood sacrifice through-
out Mesoamerica before the arrival of the Spanish [11–13]. These include a variety of ritual behaviors involving non-lethal forms of bloodletting and body piercings, as well as deadly practices of human and animal sacrifice, heart extraction, and decapitation. Among the most noted examples, Aztec human sacrifice stands out for its ritual violence and bloodshed. Performed in the religious precincts of Tenochtitlan, ritual sacrifice was a primary instrument for social integration and political legitimacy that intersected with militaristic and marketplace practices, as well as with beliefs about the cosmological order [6]. Although human sacrifice was arguably less common in ancient Maya society, physical evidence indicates that offerings of infant sacrifices and other rituals involving decapitation were important religious practices during the Classic period [14,15]. Scenes of personal bloodletting and mutilated captives further illustrate the range of sacrificial rituals within Classic Maya society [16,17]. While material remains suggest sacrificial rites may have conferred political power for the ruling class [7], bloodletting rituals were not the exclusive domain of elites. Ethnohistorical documents indicate these practices were pervasive among most social classes in Mesoamerica [18]. While blood sacrifice may represent a set of loosely shared religious beliefs for many ancient Mesoamerican people, the codes of conduct that regulated these and other cultural practices are dependent upon significant social and historical factors [19]. To avoid monolithic portrayals of these ritual practices, such specific details deserve greater analytic attention to understand the mechanisms and contexts in which blood rituals were practiced and spread through ancient Mesoamerican societies.

Classic Maya bloodletting is a well-documented ancient ritual based on iconographic and epigraphic data as well as biological studies on the methods and risks associated with these practices [8,20–24]. Bloodletting rituals involved puncturing or perforating different body parts using a variety of instruments. Classic period iconographic and figurative representations show individuals piercing their tongue or penis to withdraw blood in acts of personal sacrifice (Figure 1). Sacrificial scenes found in Postclassic codices also include images of penis perforation and bloodletting from the ears, along with other representations of human sacrifice that have been associated with the Maya calendar [25]. Some of the objects depicted in these bloodletting scenes include: bowls with lancets, stingray spines, obsidian and flint blades, bone awls, cord, and bark paper, many of which have also been recovered archaeologically (Figure 2). Ethnohistorical sources, including the Relación by Diego de Landa [26] further corroborate these pictorial scenes of bloodletting:

“They offered sacrifices of their own blood, sometimes cutting themselves around in pieces and they left them in this way as a sign. Other times they pierced their cheeks, at other times their lower lips. Sometimes they scarify certain parts of their bodies, at others they pierced their tongues in a slanting direction from side to side and passed bits of straw through the holes with horrible suffering, other slit the superfluous part of the virile member leaving it as they did their ears.” [27]

For the Classic period, images of bloodletting carved in stone monuments and painted on pottery illustrate elaborate and complex rituals performed by Maya royalty and supernatural figures. These scenes depict important dynastic rituals, which served various purposes associated with agricultural ceremonies, ancestor communication, metaphorical acts of procreation, as well as other royal obligations [8,20,21,28–31]. Although Maya bloodletting rituals can be included in a broader category of Mesoamerican religious practices, earlier studies overlook two key issues for the cultural evolution of religion: 1) the extent to which the frequency of these rituals varied through space and time and 2) the contexts and potential mechanisms that contributed to the spread of these ritual practices in Classic Maya society.

Despite significant advances in the decipherment of Classic Maya writing over the last 40 years, incomplete and imprecise database records have hampered quantitative studies of the occurrence of these rituals in the historical record. Here we present evidence for ritual bloodletting drawn from the Maya Hieroglyphic Database (MHD), a unique set of digitized hieroglyphic records, in order to characterize the intensity and transmission of bloodletting rituals recorded on Maya stelae. These deciphered texts include detailed social and political information about Maya rulers, toponyms for important places and landscape features, as well as calendar dates referring to specific ritual events. In addition, many of these hieroglyphic inscriptions are found on stone monuments in the same location where they were originally placed. Unlike the colonial documentation of Aztec human sacrifice, Classic Maya hieroglyphic texts can be considered a unique example of “indigenous” written testimony [32]. Still, it is important to keep in mind that these data are not objective descriptions of historical events. Rather, they are reflections of how the Maya perceived, remembered, and chose to record selected aspects of their religious practice.

**Costly signals and religious behavior**

Social scientists have long speculated that intense rituals generate collective benefits that offset individual costs by reinforcing social bonds and promoting group solidarity [2,3]. Recent evolutionary approaches to religion formalize these ideas by offering possible models to interpret the spread of costly displays and their consequences for human society [33,34]. These approaches suggest that cooperation among large groups of genetically unrelated individuals can be facilitated by extravagant behaviors that reliably signal commitments to social groups and shared ideals. Hard-to-fake religious behaviors are argued to promote beliefs in moralizing supernatural agents by enhancing within-group interpersonal trust through low monitoring costs and by stabilizing prosocial norms [34]. For example, results from one recent study illustrate how both ritual performers and observers of a Hindu body-piercing ritual were more likely to make charitable donations to the local temple than performers of a low-ordeal ritual [35]. Increasingly, natural experiments and economic games demonstrate the behavioral impacts of costly rituals in contemporary religious populations [36,37]. However, we are not aware of any other study that addresses how such extravagant rituals varied over time or spread between different social groups in the past—essential information for assessing the significance of religion for cultural evolution.

Using the framework of signaling theory [38], inscribed stone monuments located in the central plazas of ancient Maya cities can be interpreted as important transmitters of cultural information. Fraser Neiman [39] originally introduced this evolutionary principle to Mesoamerican archaeology by arguing that Maya stelae constitute reliable, although wasteful, advertisements of a ruler’s ability to outcompete his political neighbors. In Neiman’s study, the construction of dated monuments was treated as a lavish investment of resources, which would have otherwise been used for bodily maintenance and reproduction. While the construction of monuments may involve costly displays of symbolic capital [39], bloodletting may present significant health risks, including inflammation and pain, tissue necrosis, as well as reduced or...
Figure 1. Bloodletting scene from Yaxchilan Lintel 17. This image of Classic Maya bloodletting depicts a royal man using a stingray spine to pierce his penis and a noble woman pulling a thorny vine through her tongue in ritual acts of self-sacrifice. Drawing by Ian Graham [81], Yaxchilan, Lintel 17. © President and Fellows of Harvard University, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, 2004.15.6.5.16. Digital file #101240031. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107982.g001

Figure 2. Bowl containing instruments used in Classic Maya bloodletting rituals. From Yaxchilan Lintel 25. Detail of drawing by Ian Graham [81], Yaxchilan, Lintel 25, front edge. © President and Fellows of Harvard University, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, 2004.15.6.5.22. Digital file #101240034. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107982.g002
Materials and Methods

Grapheme Sample

The data used in this study derive from Classic Maya inscriptions recorded in the Maya Hieroglyphic Database [43]. This comprehensive compilation and concordance of Maya texts currently contains 65,269 records from inscribed architectural elements (i.e., stelae, stairways, panels, and murals), portable vessels such as painted pottery, and small objects made of jadeite, shell, bone, and wood. Each record in the database represents a glyph block, which is a graphically defined unit of text composed of one or more graphemes, each of which is individually coded. A complete catalog of all graphemes used in the Classic Maya inscriptions has been published by Macri and Looper [44].

In this study, hieroglyphic evidence for bloodletting is based on a grapheme labeled ZYC in the MHD [44]. This logographic sign depicts a perforator or obsidian bloodletter, and occurs in two forms (Figure 3). Front and side views of the sign represent a three-dimensional object with a blade-like protuberance. In this form, the sign is usually depicted with an infixed circle and diagonal line which gives the appearance of an object that is polished or shiny, in this case probably obsidian. A less common variant of ZYC is the depiction of a bundled or wrapped bloodletter with feathered plumes attached to the instrument. Iconographically, both forms of the sign appear in scenes of ritual sacrifice and alongside other objects associated with bloodletting including stingray spines and strips of blood-stained paper (see Figure 2) [8,20].

The ZYC grapheme is recorded a total of 89 times in the MHD. Of these, two examples are precoded by the phonetic complement ch’ a (2G2) while b’ a (XE1) appears beneath the perforator sign in 57 cases. For this reason, the current decipherment of the logograph is ch’abb’ [45]. Based on its lack of verbal affixation and its position within clauses in the hieroglyphic inscriptions, ch’abb’ is considered to be a noun. Words in the Greater Cholan and Yukatekan Mayan languages indicated by the ch’ a- and b’ a- phonetic complements on the perforator glyph ZYC are listed in Table 1. In Ch’olan the word ch’abb’ means ‘penance,’ which is a term not inappropriate to the notion of autosacrifice. This word may be related to the Yukatekan word ch’ a b’, ‘dripper/dropper’, which is a derivation from the verb root ch’a, meaning ‘to drip’. The noun ch’ a b’ is formed by adding the instrumental suffix -Vb’ to the verb root. Examples of the root ch’ a j can be found in all four Yukatekan languages: Yukatek, Itzaj, Mopan, and Lakantun (see Table 1). The Yukatekan instrumental form in Yukatek, Itzaj, and Lakantun may be more precisely associated with sacrificial acts than the generalized Ch’olan term implies.

References to bloodletting were identified from 2480 non-portable architectural features included in the MHD. To ensure spatial and temporal reliability, we restricted the sample to 72 sites in the southern Maya region (Figure 4) where hieroglyphic monuments were produced during the Classic period (08.12.0.0.0 to 10.3.0.0.0 in the Long Count calendar system; 278–889 CE using the Goodman-Martínez-Thompson correlation coefficient). From these 909 attributed monuments we identified 89 examples of the ch’abb’ glyph, 69 of which appeared to be directly associated with bloodletting (Table S1). For the latter 69 examples, we collected additional historical and social network data describing the associated contexts in which bloodletting statements were recorded (Tables S2 and S3). Geographic coordinates for all of the sites were obtained from the Electronic Atlas of Ancient Maya Sites [46].

Spatial Analysis

We evaluated the spatial distribution of bloodletting records using a set of statistics known as local indicators of spatial association (LISA) [47]. In contrast to global measures of spatial autocorrelation, LISA statistics identify where significant spatial patterning occurs by quantitatively characterizing patterns of spatial dependence at multiple scales. In particular, we used two complementary statistics to identify local spatial concentrations of bloodletting records.

The first statistic, local Moran’s I,, is an index of similarity between sites with target values like those displayed at adjacent locales [47]. Positive I scores indicate spatial clustering of similar values (i.e., either relatively high or low frequencies of ch’abb’) while negative I scores represent clustering of dissimilar values (e.g., a site with relatively high frequency of bloodletting records surrounded by sites with no ch’abb’ inscriptions).

The second statistic, Getis-Ord G*, identifies spatial clusters of either high or low values by computing z-scores [48]. For statistically significant positive z-scores, large scores indicate more
intense clustering of high values. For statistically significant negative z-scores, small scores indicate intense clustering of low values.

Social Networks

We collected social network data describing specific sociopolitical relationships between sites to evaluate the related contexts and pathways in which bloodletting statements were recorded and spread. Ties between sites are based upon the appearance of foreign emblem glyphs and toponyms in hieroglyphic statements describing specific types of sociopolitical relations between Maya polities. Maya scholars generally agree that emblem glyphs refer to large political units, whether defined geographically or along kinship lines [49]. Emblem glyphs share a common structure in that they are composed of three elements: two constants, the k’uhul logograph was used to referring to ‘divine’ or ‘holy’ and ajaw ‘lord’; and a third variable main sign of the particular kingdom or polity. Emblem glyphs usually follow the personal name of royal individuals mentioned in the texts and thus are generally understood as royal titles [50]. The main sign can also appear in other contexts where it serves as a toponym or place name [51]. In some cases, these signs appear at sites other than the one to which they refer. It is generally agreed that in such instances, these “foreign” emblem glyphs indicate an interaction or relationship between the individual agent or local site and the one referenced in the text [52–54]. We use the presence of these local and non-local place names in the MHD to collect data on specific network ties.

Network ties were assigned relational values based upon the semantic contexts of non-local place names and were previously classified by Munson and Macri [55,56]. Ties fall into one of seven defined themes: antagonistic, diplomatic, dynastic, subordinate, kin, neutral and unknown statements (see Tables S2). There are too few ties in these networks to adequately evaluate their individual contribution to the spread of bloodletting, so all relations are weighted equally and a binary matrix (i.e., 1 if there is at least one tie among a pair of sites and 0 otherwise) was computed from the condensed relational data table. This dichotomized network is composed of 113 unique ties among 48 sites; 24 sites do not record a foreign place-name glyph and are identified as isolates in the network. An attribute table recording the presence and absence of the ch’ahb’ logograph was used to partition the nodes in the visualized network. These matrices were used in a QAP correlation procedure to assess the similarity between them and test for homophily [57,58]. Similarity was measured using a simple matching coefficient, which calculates the proportion of shared attributes in two dichotomous matrices \( i \) and \( j \), and is defined by the equation:

\[
s_{ij} = \frac{a + d}{a + b + c + d}
\]

where \( a \) is the number of dyads when \( i \) and \( j \) are both 1, \( b \) is the number of dyads where \( i \) is 1 and \( j \) is 0, \( c \) is the number of dyads where \( i \) is 0 and \( j \) is 1, and \( d \) is the number of dyads where both \( i \) and \( j \) are 0. The data were randomly permuted 10,000 times using the network and partition sizes to generate significance tests.

Generalized Linear Mixed Model

We used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to investigate the effects of several predictor variables on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAYA WORD</th>
<th>TRANSLATION</th>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>DICTIONARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ch’ab’</td>
<td>fast</td>
<td>Colonial Tzotzil</td>
<td>[74] Laughlin (1988:194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’aj</td>
<td>forms related to ‘fast’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’aj’</td>
<td>lavar</td>
<td>Mopan</td>
<td>[75] Hofling (2011:163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’aj</td>
<td>gotoar</td>
<td>Itzaj</td>
<td>[76] Hofling (1997:211)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’aj’</td>
<td>gotoado</td>
<td>Itzaj</td>
<td>[76] Hofling (1997:211)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’ah</td>
<td>goto de agua, orina, o otro licor; gota de cualquier licor o resina de árbol</td>
<td>Yukatek</td>
<td>[77] Barrera Basquez et al. (1997:121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ach’uhr ch’ah e ha’</td>
<td>water drips</td>
<td>Chorti’</td>
<td>[79] Wisdom (1950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental forms based on ch’aj</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’ajb’aar</td>
<td>dropper</td>
<td>Lakantun</td>
<td>Hofling (personal communication)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’oj</td>
<td>forms related to ‘bloodletting’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’oj</td>
<td>to chisel, drip, engrave, perforate, pierce</td>
<td>Colonial Tzotzil</td>
<td>[52] Laughlin (1988:199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch’ojbil te’</td>
<td>chisel</td>
<td>Colonial Tzotzil</td>
<td>[52] Laughlin (1988:19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107982.t001
frequency of *ch’ahb’* bloodletting statements. Specifically, we are interested in the historical contexts in which bloodletting rituals were recorded, so we included the aforementioned sociopolitical themes as fixed effects in the model and standardized these variables to account for their different ranges. Given the characteristics of this dataset, an ordinary linear regression would be an inappropriate model choice because assumptions about the Normal error distribution and the relation between the dependent and independent variables are violated for dichotomous or count data. These assumptions can be relaxed using Generalized Linear Models (GLMs), which include the ordinary linear regression model as a special case. GLMs, such as logistic regression or Poisson regression, differ from ordinary linear regression models in that they can incorporate various error distributions as well as nonlinear relationships among the response and the explanatory variables using a link function. Another assumption of GLMs pertains to the independence of observations. In this case, multiple observations of *ch’ahb’* statements per time period and site introduces correlation among the observations. To account for this potential correlation problem, we added random coefficients to the model corresponding to time and spatial location. The resulting model is thus a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM).

We now consider the specification of the model. When dealing with count data, two error distributions are plausible: the Poisson and the Negative Binomial distribution. The latter is particularly suitable for our data. In fact, a $\chi^2$ goodness of fit test rejected the null hypothesis of the Poisson distribution ($\chi^2 = 45.6, \text{df} = 3, \text{p-value}<0.01$). This is due to overdispersion in the dataset, i.e., the variance of the data is greater than the mean (Likelihood ratio test for overdispersion $LR = 11.9$, sig. $<0.01$, parameter for overdispersion $= 1.506$). Consequently, we used a Negative Binomial mixed model. Because we are interested in the rate of *ch’ahb’* bloodletting statements with respect to the number of dated monuments at each site, we also introduced an offset variable in the linear predictor. Consequently, denoting fixed effects by $x$, random effects by $z$, and the variable related to the number of dated monuments by $m$, the linear predictor $g$ of the model can be specified by the following equation:

$$g_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 z + \beta_3 \log(m) + \gamma_{time} x_{time} + \gamma_{site} x_{site} + \beta_4 \log(m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are the parameters related to the fixed and random effects, respectively. A log-link function is used to relate the expected value of the response variable to the linear predictor.

**Results**

The relative frequency of bloodletting statements recorded on dated monuments varies markedly through space and time (Figures 4 and 5). The distribution of southern Maya sites with recorded bloodletting is shown in Figure 4. Clearly, *ch’ahb’* bloodletting statements were not recorded with equal frequency at all site locations. Despite numerous sites with dated monuments, references to bloodletting are noticeably sparse in the central Petén region except at Tikal and Naranjo. Bloodletting statements are more common at sites in the southeastern region and along the Usumacinta River. In particular, it is noteworthy that 42% of all

---

**Figure 4. Location and name of southern Maya lowland sites included in the study.** Red dot indicates sites that record the *ch’ahb’* bloodletting glyph and black dot indicates sites where no reference to *ch’ahb’* bloodletting has been found. Size of red dot refers to the relative abundance of dated monuments that record bloodletting rituals.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107982.g004
documented bloodletting rituals were recorded at just two sites: Copan (n = 18) and Yaxchilan (n = 11).

For much of the Classic period, ch'ahb’ bloodletting statements occur at a low rate, but there are two phases when bloodletting references occur on more than 15% of all dated inscriptions. The chronological distribution of dated monuments sampled by the MHD is shown in Figure 6. Peak production in writing and construction of hieroglyphic monuments occurs during a 200-year period corresponding to the apogee of late Classic Maya civilization (ca. 600–800 CE). Variation in the total number of political statements recorded on these monuments follows this pattern [55]. However, variation in the number of ch'ahb’ bloodletting statements does not. This suggests that changes in the frequency of bloodletting records are not simply a function of increased monument production through time. This inference is supported by the exclusion of the time variable as a random effect in the model described below.

To investigate the effects of these and other latent variables, we used a Negative Binomial mixed model to identify the sociopolitical contexts most closely associated with records of ritual bloodletting. We employed the same set of network themes used to construct the social network as predictor variables in this model. In light of recent research on the function of costly religious rituals and their role in cultural evolution [33,59] we are especially interested in the relationship between bloodletting and statements concerning antagonistic and dynastic affairs. A GLMM specified by a log link function and a Negative Binomial error distribution was used to estimate the main effects of each sociopolitical theme (x) on the total number of ch'ahb’ bloodletting statements (y). In order to select the best model, we used a forward procedure (i.e., starting with the null model, then adding the theme variables and finally the random effects) and compared their resulting AIC values using a likelihood ratio test. Table 2 shows that the model with the lowest AIC value includes all of the sociopolitical variables as well as the random effect related to geographic location. The estimates for the model are reported in Table 3 and visualized in Figure 7 together with their confidence intervals.

Results indicate that antagonistic statements best predict the occurrence of ch'ahb’ bloodletting records (Table 2). A likelihood ratio test shows that only the coefficient related to the number of
Homophily refers to the general principle that similarity promotes connection, which has profound implications for the transmission of cultural information in social networks [61]. It means that any attribute that depends on networks to spread will tend to be localized in social space and will result in a predictable network structure [62]. In a homophilous network, connections among sites

Table 2. Negative binomial mixed models including the fixed effects (β) and random effects (γ) with corresponding AIC values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Model specification</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \eta_i = \beta_0 + \log(m_i) )</td>
<td>291.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \eta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \beta_{\text{dy}}x_{\text{dy}} + \beta_{\text{sub}}x_{\text{sub}} + \beta_{\text{dyn}}x_{\text{dyn}} + \beta_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \log(m_i) )</td>
<td>279.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \eta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \beta_{\text{dy}}x_{\text{dy}} + \beta_{\text{sub}}x_{\text{sub}} + \beta_{\text{dyn}}x_{\text{dyn}} + \gamma_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \gamma_{\text{dy}}x_{\text{dy}} + \log(m_i) )</td>
<td>272.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \eta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \beta_{\text{dy}}x_{\text{dy}} + \beta_{\text{sub}}x_{\text{sub}} + \beta_{\text{dyn}}x_{\text{dyn}} + \beta_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \beta_{\text{dy}}x_{\text{dy}} + \gamma_{\text{ant}}x_{\text{ant}} + \gamma_{\text{dy}}x_{\text{dy}} + \gamma_{\text{sub}}x_{\text{sub}} + \gamma_{\text{dyn}}x_{\text{dyn}} + \log(m_i) )</td>
<td>274.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) **0.001, *0.01.

The offset variable \((m)\) accounts for the number of dated monuments on which the observations were made. AIC values were tested using a Likelihood ratio test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107982.t002

Table 3. Results of the Generalized Linear Mixed Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>β</th>
<th>s.e.(β)</th>
<th>Exp.(β)</th>
<th>CI Exp.(β) 2.5%</th>
<th>CI Exp.(β) 97.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-3.37**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antagonistic</td>
<td>0.47**</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplomatic</td>
<td>-0.37*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynastic</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinship</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinate</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random coefficient (\(\gamma\)) Variance 0.6745, s.d. 0.8213.

Sig. **0.001, *0.01.

Estimate values greater than 1 indicate positive correlation between the sociopolitical variable and the dependent variable, ch’ahb’. Values less than 1 indicate a negative relationship. Model fitting indicates that antagonistic statements are the only significant variable associated with bloodletting records. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107982.t003
that share some attribute ought to occur at a higher rate than among sites that do not share the attribute.

For this study we are interested in whether sites that record bloodletting are more likely to also share a dyadic tie. Dyadic ties are based upon the appearance of place-name glyphs in hieroglyphic statements that describe social and political relations between Maya polities [55]. Figure 9 shows a graph of the network partitioned by the presence of ch'ab' bloodletting statements. Results of the QAP correlation indicate a strong and positive relationship between network ties and bloodletting ($r = 0.909$, $p = 0.001$). Sites that record bloodletting statements thus exhibit a strong tendency to have ties with like others. In addition, pairs of sites where ch'ab' statements are not present are less likely to share a network tie. These findings support the existence of homophily in this dataset, and suggest that social and political ties among Classic Maya centers contributed to the transmission of these rituals. The existence of homophily, however, does not allow us to identify causality or differentiate between selection (where ties are created among sites that record bloodletting) and influence mechanisms (in which linked sites adopt bloodletting) in the spread of ritual bloodletting. Indeed, disambiguating these causal processes remains an extant challenge for social network analysis [63].

Discussion

Ritual bloodletting is widely regarded as a central feature in the evolution of Classic Maya society [1]. This ancient ritual practice is also cited as a key example in more general discussions about the role of costly signals in the cultural evolution of religion [9,10]. To assess these generalizations, we need to understand the specific mechanisms and contexts in which bloodletting occurred. This study provides the first systematic account of bloodletting rituals drawn from the Classic Maya hieroglyphic record, and demonstrates how important insights on the evolution of religious rituals can be gained from quantitative studies of the spatial and temporal occurrences of this glyph.
Previous descriptions of Classic Maya bloodletting portray this ritual as a nearly ubiquitous act of self-sacrifice performed by Maya rulers. These ritual practices are considered to be essential—if not costly—rites of passage that signaled specific qualities of young royals as well as their commitments to their new role in Classic Maya society [21,29,30]. The results of our study cast doubt on the widespread distribution of these dynastic rituals and suggest that bloodletting, as a costly signal, was much more restricted in Classic Maya society. In particular, the results of our model identify geographic location and antagonism as primary predictors for the occurrence of inscribed ch’ahb’ glyphs on dated monuments. As discussed above, records of bloodletting are predominantly found at a small number of sites along the Usumacinta River and in the southeastern region of the Maya lowlands. Although the actual practice of bloodletting may have been more common than the inscriptions account for, this study captures only those unambiguous instances of recorded bloodletting rituals. The tradition of recording these costly displays underscores one proposed function of Maya hieroglyphic monuments as important transmitters of cultural information. We argue that the documentation of these rituals on public monuments can be interpreted as conspicuous messages about specific dynastic lineages. However, Demarest suggests that such related practices formed part of a larger set of dynastic rituals expected of proper Maya rulers [1]. Thus, we must also consider the possibility that antagonistic actions such as taking war captives represent another credible sign of a ruler’s power and dominance within Classic Maya society.

An alternative explanation for the spatial distribution of ch’ahb’ glyphs and their relationship with antagonistic statements relies explicitly on hypotheses derived from signaling theory. The costly signaling theory of religion predicts that collective actions such as warfare pose potential free-rider problems such that reliable signals promoting solidarity and within-group cooperation should be highly favored [4]. Based on these ideas, Sosis and colleagues propose several hypotheses to explain cross-cultural differences in costly male rites including various types of scarification, piercing, and genital mutilation [41]. In particular, they hypothesize a positive link between warfare frequency and the costliness of male rites. Sosis et al. [41] posit a further relationship between specific types of warfare and the relative cost of these rituals: societies that engage in external warfare (i.e., conflicts with those outside their group) will require their males to bear more permanent marks than societies with only internal warfare (i.e., conflict that threatens the lives of individuals within the cultural group). Our model supports the first part of this hypothesis, but does not currently meet the more specific conditions of the second clause. Although we find a positive relationship between bloodletting and antagonistic statements, these declarations of war are generally directed towards rival polities and competing rulers within Maya
Previous research on Maya warfare indicates this was an internal and personal affair—fought between ethnically and culturally similar antagonists who abided by mutually understood conventions [64]. According to the costly ritual-warfare hypothesis, we would expect communities that engage in frequent internal warfare to resist rituals that result in permanent marks—such as bloodletting—which can signal group identity, due to the mobility of individuals across kin groups and consequent shifting of alliances [41]. Our results suggest a different situation: rival rulers appear to have proudly displayed the markings of bloodletting despite crosscutting network ties. Interestingly though, bloodletting records are predominantly found in two peripheral zones of the Maya lowlands where contact and conflict with non-Maya groups may have been common. If so, it is possible that bloodletting rituals and the documentation of these displays represented a sign of group membership in culturally diverse environments. However, this hypothesis will have to await future evaluation. Another point that raises doubt about the costly ritual-warfare hypothesis for Classic Maya bloodletting relates to the gender of ritual practitioners. The study conducted by Sosis and colleagues was limited to rituals performed exclusively by males [41]. At least a subset of bloodletting statements seem to refer to male initiation rites (yax ch’ahb’ ‘first bloodletting’) [29], although we cannot be certain that all ch’ahb’ statements refer only to male initiation rites. This study does not identify whether males or females were the main subjects of bloodletting statements, but we know that this ritual was not exclusive to male practitioners (see Figure 1). Thus, we must consider the diverse roles of ritual practitioners according to gender, age, and other variables in future studies of Classic Maya bloodletting.

Beyond basic contextual clues about the conditions surrounding Classic Maya bloodletting, results of this study also allow us to evaluate possible pathways for the spread of this ritual practice. While the spatial distribution of bloodletting records is noteworthy, this pattern is not consistent with mechanisms of spatial diffusion. Instead, by measuring the social distance between sites we found that network ties are strongly correlated with bloodletting statements. In other words, sites where bloodletting was recorded are highly connected. Thus, bloodletting rituals seem to have spread through social network ties rather than a process of spatial diffusion. However, a basic pattern of homophily is not enough to distinguish whether selection processes or influence processes are primarily responsible for the transmission of cultural traits [65]. Given the current dataset, a process of selection would create network ties among sites that share the cultural attribute of recording ch’ahb’ glyphs, whereas a process of social contagion (also called influence) works in the opposite direction with sites adopting the cultural practice based on an existing network tie. There are few models capable of dealing with the problem of separating these transmission processes [65, 66]. Moreover, these models are quite complex, requiring a specific set of data in which time plays a central role. Although the dataset used in this study is time-sensitive, it does not currently meet the requirements specified by these dynamic models. However, given the relatively low occurrence of ch’ahb’ glyphs in the overall corpus of Maya hieroglyphic texts, it is unlikely that this single variable drove the formation of network ties. Rather, the spread of bloodletting appears to result from a form of social contagion between connected polities. Network ties between sites thus identify potential transmission pathways of bloodletting rituals that
included kinship lines, marriage exchanges, subjugation, and political alliances between royal courts.

Religious rituals that are painful or highly stressful are often considered to be costly signs of commitment that promoted cooperation, thereby contributing to the evolution of large-scale societies over the past 10,000 years [67–70]. In general, though, these theories do not address how such extravagant displays varied over time and between different social groups in the past—historical information that is essential for studying the evolution of religion. This study demonstrates the first attempt to quantitatively analyze patterns of Classic Maya bloodletting recorded in hieroglyphic texts. Results of the present study illustrate the historical dynamics of these ancient rituals and offer clues to the variable contexts and consequences of religious rituals beyond samples drawn from contemporary Western societies. Moreover, this study highlights the need for archaeologists and historians to participate in debates about the evolutionary origins and cognitive science of religion [71].
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